

REMARKS:

Claims 16-20 are in the case and presented for consideration.

The new claims have been drafted to better distinguish the invention over the prior art cited by the Examiner in the Final Action.

For example, the secondary reference to Boesen teaches a wheel or roller 104 but no: "... ball bearing resiliently mounted on said hinge case in an engaging condition with said guide grooves, a receiving portion being slidably inserted in said hinge case for receiving said ball bearing, and resilient means provided in said hinge case and adapted to urge the ball bearing into the engaging condition with said guide grooves." from Claim 16; or "... ball bearing slidably and rotatably mounted to one side of said hinge case for receiving said ball bearing, a stopper member provided in the rear portion of the hinge case, and resilient means interposed between said stopper member and said receiver." from Claim 20.

The dependent claims are believed to further distinguish the invention. For example, none of the references appear to teach or suggest using a bent set of grooves so that the two members are at an obtuse angle to each other when in the opened position.

By this amendment, thus the application and claims are believed to be in condition for allowance and the examiner is respectfully invited to telephone the undersigned in the interest of reaching a conclusion to the prosecution of this case.

No new matter has been added and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
/PETER C MICHALOS/
Peter C. Michalos
Reg. No. 28, 643
Attorney for Applicant
Tel. 845-359-7700

Dated: February 8, 2008

NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.
100 Dutch Hill Road, Suite 110
Orangeburg, New York 10962-2100

Customer No. 21706

R:\PATAMD\G121-082\G121-082US-AF-RCE.wpd